

No evidence, but they still accuse Cuba



File image/RHC

By Roberto Morejón

Quick, powerful and direct was the Cuban government's response to the libel launched by an exponent of the so-called U.S. mainstream press about the possible construction in the Caribbean archipelago of a Chinese intelligence base to spy on the northern nation.

A review of the headlines and information published in the world corporate press reflects the almost carbon copy repetition of what was written in The Wall Street Journal, an outrage described by Cuba as slander and falsehood.

However, in the first hours following the "cascade" of duplications of what was said, without proof, by the U.S. newspaper, few media made allusion to the forceful statement by the Cuban Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs, Carlos Fernandez de Cossio.

The high-ranking official denied the publication of the aforementioned newspaper on the alleged agreement between Havana and Beijing to establish a military enclave in Antillean territory, destined -

according to what they wrote - to intercept U.S. communications.

In spite of the attempts of the hegemonic press to dilute Fernandez de Cossio's categorical statement, accompanied by the argument that Cuba rejects the foreign military presence in the Latin American and Caribbean region, the truth of this blockaded country and in the midst of severe material limitations, cannot always be veiled.

The Caribbean nation knows very well this type of fallacies because it has been the target of them during the prolonged confrontation with the United States.

Periodically, some sectors require additional oxygen to present Cuba as what they call a threat.

This is how they do it in order to support the permanence of the siege and even spurious unilateral lists.

For those instigators of the invoked danger of Cuba in relation to the security of the United States, the fact that this Caribbean country is a signatory of the Declaration of Latin America and the Caribbean as a zone of peace, signed by CELAC in Havana in 2014, seems to mean nothing.

If we are talking about presences outside Latin America and the Caribbean, The Wall Street Journal and the rest of the media that echoed the script of the alleged Chinese installation, should address the presence of U.S. troops in bases located in the area.

They should also refer to the U.S. troops stationed in Cuba, at the Guantanamo base, against the wishes of the inhabitants of this archipelago

<https://www.radiohc.cu/en/especiales/comentarios/325041-no-evidence-but-they-still-accuse-cuba>



Radio Habana Cuba